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## Learning Objectives

- Standardizing Variables
- Normal probability calculations.
- Section 3.1 of DBC

Standardizing Variables

## NBA Data

Player statistics for the 2016-2017 season of the NBA

- player The name of the player.
- pts The total points for the season
- two_pp Two point field goal percentage.
- three_pp Three point field goal percentage.
- Many others ...
- Here, I only kept players that attempted at least 20 two-point and 20 three-point field goals.


## NBA Data

```
library(tidyverse)
nba <- read_csv("../../data/nba2016.csv") %>%
    filter(two_pa >= 20, three_pa >= 20) %>%
    select(player, pts, two_pp, three_pp)
glimpse(nba)
Observations: 337
Variables: 4
$ player <chr> "Russell Westbrook", "James Harden",
$ pts <int> 2558, 2356, 2199, 2099, 2061, 2024, 20...
$ two_pp <dbl> 0.459, 0.530, 0.528, 0.524, 0.582, 0.4...
$ three_pp <dbl> 0.343, 0.347, 0.379, 0.299, 0.367, 0.3...
```


## LeBron James

- LeBron James is the greatest player in the history of basketball (you will be tested on this).
- Is he better at three point field goals or two point field goals relative to other players?
- His three-point field goal percentage is 0.363 and his two-point field goal percentage is 0.611 .
- Can we just say that he is a better two-point field goal shooter?


## Not as easy as you think

- Can't just compare the numbers - three point field goals are much harder.
- I.e. the two statistics are in different units. We need a way to compare these observations without units.
- He might be better than most people at three point FG and worst than most people at two point FG, or vice versa.


## Standardizing and $z$-scores

## standardizing and $z$-scores

If $x$ is an observation from a distribution that has mean $\mu$ and standard deviation $\sigma$, the standardized value of $x$ is

$$
z=\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}
$$

A standardized value is often called a z-score.
The $z$-score is in units of standard deviations above the mean.

## Mean and SD of two and three FG \%

```
mu2 <- mean(nba$two_pp)
sigma2 <- sd(nba$two_pp)
mu3 <- mean(nba$three_pp)
sigma3 <- sd(nba$three_pp)
c(mu2, mu3)
[1] 0.4802 0.3431
c(sigma2, sigma3)
    [1] 0.05779 0.05827
```

Three point field goals are harder!

## LeBron's $z$-scores

- $z_{2}=\frac{0.611-0.4802}{0.0578}=2.2637$.
- $z_{3}=\frac{0.363-0.3431}{0.0583}=0.3414$
- The King (LeBron) is 2.26 SD's above the mean for two-point field goals but only 0.34 SD's above the mean for three-point field goals.
- Relative to everyone else, he is a lot better at two-point field goals.


## Graphically

Two-point FG \%


Three-point FG \%


## Another Example: Lance Thomas

- Lance Thomas (New York Knicks) has a two-point FT \% of 0.371 and a three-point FG \% of 0.447 .
- Is he better at two-point field goals or three point field goals relative to his peers?
(0.371 - mean(nba\$two_pp)) / sd(nba\$two_pp)
[1] -1.889
(0.447 - mean(nba\$three_pp)) / sd(nba\$three_pp)
[1] 1.783

He is way better at three point field goals.

## Graphically

Two-point FG \%


Three-point FG \%


## Other Examples

- Comparing the heights of two children of different ages ("which one is taller relative to their age?").
- Did you do better on the SAT or the ACT?
- How about the midterm vs the final exam?

Normal z-scores

## Looks normal

## hist(nba\$two_pp)

## Histogram of nba\$two_pp



## Still looks normal

```
zscores <- (nba$two_pp - mean(nba$two_pp)) /
    sd(nba$two_pp)
hist(zscores)
```

Histogram of zscores


## Mean and SD

```
mean(zscores)
[1] 4.166e-16
sd(zscores)
[1] 1
```

The "blah e-16" is just R's way of saying zero.

## Recall: Relationships

- Let $y_{i}=a+b x_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
- $\bar{y}=a+b \bar{x}$.
- median $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=a+b \operatorname{median}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$
- $\operatorname{SD}(y)=|b| \operatorname{SD}(x)$
- $\operatorname{MAD}(y)=|b| \operatorname{MAD}(x)$


## You prove

- Claim: Let $z_{i}=\frac{x_{i}-\bar{x}}{s_{x}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then $\bar{z}=0$ and $s_{z}=1$.


## Property of Normal Distributions

- Actually, if we apply a linear transformation to a variable that has a normal distribution, then the resulting variable also has a normal distribution.
- Thus, if $x$ is normal with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$, then $z=\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}$ is normal with mean 0 and variance 1 .


## Normal z-scores

```
x <- rnorm(n = 100, mean = 5, sd = 2)
hist(x)
```

Histogram of $\mathbf{x}$


## Normal z-scores

```
z <- (x - mean(x)) / sd(x)
hist(z)
```

Histogram of $\mathbf{z}$


## $N\left(5,2^{2}\right)$ and $N(0,1)$ on same plot



## Check normality

```
qqnorm(z)
qqline(z)
```


## Normal Q-Q Plot



Normal Probability Calculations

## Approximations

- We know LeBron's two-point field goal percentage. What percent of NBA players have a worse percentage?
- We could either calculate this out directly
lj2 <- nba\$two_pp [nba\$player == "LeBron James"]
sum(nba\$two_pp < lj2) / length(nba\$two_pp)
[1] 0.9881
- Or we could use a the normal distribution as an approximation.


## Normal approximation



## Area we want



## Easy Way: use 'pnorm'

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { pnorm }(q=1 j 2, \text { mean }=\text { mean }(\text { nba\$two_pp }), \\
& \quad s d=\text { sd(nba\$two_pp)) } \\
& \text { [1] } 0.9882 \\
& \text { Pretty close to the observed frequency! } \\
& \text { sum(nba\$two_pp < lj2) / length(nba\$two_pp) } \\
& \text { [1] } 0.9881
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Hard Way: Convert to z-scores and use a table

- Proportion of players who have a two-point FG\% less than that of LeBron $=$ proportion of players whose $z$-score is less than that of Lebron.
- Recall LeBron's $z$-score: $z_{l j}=2.26$



## Table

- Want area to the left of 2.26 from a normal distribution with mean 0 and standard deviation 1.
- Look this up in Table B in DBC pp427-429.

| Z | Second decimal place of $Z$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 |
| 2.0 | 0.9772 | 0.9778 | 0.9783 | 0.9788 | 0.9793 | 0.9798 | 0.9803 | 0.9808 | 0.981 |
| 2.1 | 0.9821 | 0.9826 | 0.9830 | 0.9834 | 0.9838 | 0.9842 | 0.9846 | 0.9850 | 0.985 |
| 2.2 | 0.9861 | 0.9864 | 0.9868 | 0.9871 | 0.9875 | 0.9878 | 0.9881 | 0.9884 | 0.988 |
| 2.3 | 0.9893 | 0.9896 | 0.9898 | 0.9901 | 0.9904 | 0.9906 | 0.9909 | 0.9911 | 0.991 |
| 2.4 | 0.9918 | 0.9920 | 0.9922 | 0.9925 | 0.9927 | 0.9929 | 0.9931 | 0.9932 | 0.993 |

## Another Problem

What about the Proportion of players who are better two-point field goal shooters than LeBron?


## The Easy Way

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { - pnorm(q = lj2, mean = mean(nba\$two_pp), } \\
& \text { sd }=\text { sd(nba\$two_pp)) } \\
& \text { [1] } 0.0118 \\
& \text { pnorm(q }=1 j 2 \text {, mean }=\text { mean(nba\$two_pp), } \\
& \text { sd = sd(nba\$two_pp), } \\
& \text { lower.tail = FALSE) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## The hard way

White Board

## Another Problem

What proportion of NBA players shoot between 0.4 and 0.5 for two-point FG?


## The Easy Way

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { less5 <- pnorm(0.5, mean }=\text { mean(nba\$two_pp), } \\
& \text { sd = sd(nba\$two_pp)) } \\
& \text { less4 <- pnorm(0.4, mean = mean(nba\$two_pp), } \\
& \text { sd = sd(nba\$two_pp)) } \\
& \text { less5 - less4 } \\
& \text { length(nba\$two_pp) } \\
& \text { [1] } 0.5638
\end{aligned}
$$

## The hard way

White Board

